

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAWRENCE CONWAY,  
Plaintiff,

v.

QUINONEZ,  
Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00976-NONE-SKO (PC)

**FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  
TO DISMISS ACTION FOR FAILURE TO  
OBEY COURT ORDERS AND FAILURE TO  
STATE A CLAIM**

14-DAY DEADLINE

On October 21, 2020, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint and found that it fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted. (Doc. 11.) The Court granted Plaintiff leave to amend within 21 days. (*Id.*) Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise respond to the Court's screening order. Therefore, on December 4, 2020, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause, within 21 days, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with a court order. (Doc. 12.) Although more than the allowed time has passed, Plaintiff has not responded to the order to show cause.

The Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide, "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with ... any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions ... within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. "District courts have inherent power to control their dockets" and, in exercising that power, may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Auth.*,

1 *City of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based on a  
2 party's failure to prosecute an action, obey a court order, or comply with local rules. *See, e.g.*,  
3 *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with a  
4 court order to amend a complaint); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130-31 (9th Cir.  
5 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421,  
6 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules).

7 It appears that Plaintiff has abandoned this action. Whether he has done so mistakenly or  
8 intentionally is inconsequential. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to comply with the Court's orders.  
9 The Court declines to expend its limited resources on a case that Plaintiff has chosen to ignore.

10 Based on the foregoing, the Court RECOMMENDS that this action be DISMISSED for  
11 failure to obey court orders and failure to state a claim on which relief can be granted. These  
12 Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to  
13 this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). **Within 14 days** of the date of  
14 service of these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the  
15 Court. The document should be captioned, "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and  
16 Recommendations." Plaintiff's failure to file objections within the specified time may result in  
17 waiver of his rights on appeal. *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing  
18 *Baxter v. Sullivan*, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: **January 8, 2021**

22 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28